

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,318	02/09/2005	Sandrine Bourgeois	L108 1010.1	8669
20.00	7590 04/13/2007 RLYLE SANDRIDGE &	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING 32ND FLOOR P.O. BOX 7037 ATLANTA, GA 30357-0037			KOSAR, AARON J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS 04/13/2007		04/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
	10/524,318	BOURGEOIS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
	Aaron J. Kosar	1609			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Au	iaust 2005				
	action is non-final.				
, <u> </u>	,—				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-69</u> is/are pending in the application).				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) 12-69 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori	· ·				
application from the International Bureau	·	a m tino reational otage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d.			
	·	-			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Acont Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 12-18, 28-36, 45-55, and 59-65 are directed to a drug delivery device comprising an active agent

Group II, claim(s) 19-25, 37-44, 56-58, and 66-69 are directed to methods of reducing an antibiotic concentration in the colon.

Group III, claim(s) 26-27 are directed to a method of preparing a drug delivery device.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The common technical feature among the claim groups is a drug delivery device comprising an active agent; however, PENHASI, et al (USPAT 6632451) and LERNER et al (USPAT 6531152) disclose controlled-release drug compositions, devices, and methods used in site-specific gastrointestinal treatments (eg. stomach, small intestine, large intestine, and colon).

Application/Control Number: 10/524,318

Art Unit: 1609

Furthermore, compositions and oral administration of drugs which attenuate the effects of antibiotics in the gastrointestinal tract are also known (WO/1988/007865, see also attached English Abstract). Thus, drug delivery devices are not novel, the shared technical feature cannot be considered a *special technical feature*. The separate groups are directed to their special technical features as follows: Group I is directed to products, group II is directed to methods of using, and group III is directed to methods of making. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

If Applicant selects Groups I or III, above, Applicant must also select a single species from the following:

an antibiotic, an anti-inflammatory compound, an anti-histamine, an anti-cholinergic, an antiviral, an antimitotic, a peptide, a protein, a gene, an anti-sense oligonucleotide, a diagnostic agent, an immunosuppressive agent or a bacteria.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Claims 27, 36, and 59 correspond to methods and compositions comprising each of the species above.

Page 4

The following claim(s) are generic: Claims 26 and 28 are generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species are distinct compounds and organisms. The species are related only as being described as "active agents", which lacking any further definition in the disclosure, reasonably reads on every known compound. The species group as claimed comprises divergent materials such as a bacterium and a gene, which are not joined by a single inventive concept. As a result, the species have no special technical feature; therefore, unity of invention is lacking.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Page 5

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron J. Kosar whose telephone number is (571) 270-3054. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30AM-5:00PM, ALT. Friday, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mary Mosher can be reached on (571) 272-0235. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,318 Page 6

Art Unit: 1609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aaron Kosar Patent Examiner Art Unit 1609

DANIEL M. SULLIVAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER